atomi

AI in Victorian schools

The case for invisible technology in Victorian classrooms

The question schools are actually asking

Victorian principals are not asking whether AI is real. They know it is. Their students are already using it daily, independently, and largely outside any school-managed system.

The question leaders are sitting with is harder:
How do we bring AI into teaching and learning in a way that is safe, purposeful, and genuinely useful, without creating more work, more risk, or more noise?

That question deserves a direct answer.

What the Victorian context tells us

The Department of Education Victoria has been clear: AI in schools must be safe, ethical, and teacher-led (Department of Education Victoria, 2023). That framework sets the right guardrails. But guardrails define the boundary. They do not tell schools what to build inside it.

Victorian principals are navigating this while also managing:

- Teacher workload is already at capacity, with over 75% of teachers identifying it as a barrier to meeting student needs (Monash University, 2024)
- Pressure to deliver consistent practice across classrooms, not just strong individual performances
- Scepticism, justified, toward tools that promise transformation but increase complexity

The riskis not that it fails to work. The risk is that it arrives as another initiative on an already overloaded system.

Quiet infrastructure

The most useful reframe for school leaders is this:
AI is not the strategy. It is the infrastructure underneath good teaching.

When technology is positioned as infrastructure rather than a headline, a product feature, or a transformation agenda, it starts to do what technology should do in schools: reduce friction, support consistency, and give teachers more capacity for the work that actually requires them.

In practice, this means technology that:

- **Reduces marking load** without replacing teacher judgement.
- **Supports differentiation** at a scale that one teacher cannot achieve alone.
- **Improves feedback quality and speed** so students can act on it while it still matters.
- **Operates within curriculum-aligned systems**, removing the burden of risk management from individual teachers.

To make this concrete, consider how this could sit underneath each of the four core teaching elements of VTLM 2.0 rather than on top of them:

- **Planning**: Curriculum-aligned content and reusable tasks that reduce preparation time and help teachers plan with confidence.
- **Explicit teaching**: Short, clear explanations that students can access in class, as pre-work, or for revision, revisited whenever needed.
- **Feedback**: AI-supported marking and guidance that helps students identify gaps and act on them quickly.
- **Application**: Tools that help teachers check understanding, reinforce learning, and identify what to revisit next.

This is not disruption. It is professional support, the kind that makes Tuesday's Period 3 lesson a little more manageable, not a little more complicated. OECD research shows educators are more likely to trust AI tools that operate as assistive infrastructure rather than as decision-makers (OECD, 2023), and Victorian education guidance reinforces that AI adoption must be pedagogically grounded and workload-aware (Victorian Academy of Teaching and Leadership, 2024).

What school leaders should look for

Not all edtech tools carry the same risk profile. Leaders evaluating tools should ask:

1. **Was it built for schools, or adapted for them?** Tools designed for general public use place the burden of safety and contextualisation on teachers. Purpose-built education AI removes that burden.
2. **Does it reduce teacher workload, or redistribute it?** If implementation requires significant training, monitoring, or management, the net gain is likely negative.
3. **Does it sit inside a coherent system?** Standalone AI tools create fragmentation. AI embedded in a curriculum-aligned platform compounds its value rather than adding to complexity.
4. **Is the teacher still the professional?** AI should support teacher judgement, not substitute for it. Any tool that positions itself otherwise warrants scrutiny.

The Atomi position

At Atomi, AI is embedded, not foregrounded. It sits inside a curriculum-aligned platform built around how teaching actually happens in Victorian classrooms, supporting teachers across planning, explicit teaching, feedback, and application without becoming another thing to manage.

The intent is not to introduce a new capability for schools to manage. It is to ensure that the platform teachers and students are already using gets progressively smarter, quietly, safely, and in service of teaching.

For Victorian principals, that distinction matters. AI that arrives loudly tends to leave a mess. AI that works invisibly tends to build trust.

Where to from here?

This paper is not a call to adopt AI broadly. It is an invitation to think about what kind of AI belongs in your school, and to hold a high bar for it.

If you would like to explore what purposeful, low-risk AI looks like inside a Victorian classroom context, Atomi offers a free trial for VASSP members. We are also glad to have a direct conversation about how this fits your school's priorities this year.

Contact: schools@getatomi.com

References

Department of Education Victoria. (2023). *Education State: Strategic plan 2025-2029.* https://www.education.vic.gov.au

Monash University. (2024). *Teacher workload, wellbeing and sustainability in Victorian schools.* https://www.monash.edu

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). *Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities.* https://www.oecd.org

Victorian Academy of Teaching and Leadership. (2024). *Generative AI in schools: Guidance for educators.* https://www.academy.vic.gov.au